



## Nonprofit Infrastructure Grant Program

### Fiscal Sponsor Application Scoring Rubric

**Fiscal Sponsor Name:**

If there are any “No” answers the FS does not qualify	Fiscal Sponsor Information	
A.5 No Score	Will the FS perform the functions in question 5 on behalf of the group(s)	Yes/No
A.6 No Score	Can the FS perform the functions specified in question 3 for an administrative fee that does not exceed ten percent (10%) of the total amount of any grant, contribution, or other money that the small community-based group(s) received with the assistance of the FS?	Yes/No
A.8 No Score	Is the FS in good standing with the Colorado Secretary of State?	Yes/No



**COLORADO**  
**Department of Local Affairs**  
Division of Local Government

<b>A.9</b> No Score	Can the FS comply with quarterly reporting requirements?	Yes/No
------------------------	--	--------



If there are any “No” answers the SCBG does not qualify	SCBG Eligibility	
<b>B.1</b> No Score	Annual operating budget of the collaborative between \$150K and \$2M	Yes/No
<b>B.2</b> No Score	Are the main offices of the groups located in the community they serve?	Yes/No
<b>B.3</b> No Score	Do the groups missions all align with the goal of serving underrepresented, underserved, or under-resourced communities in Colorado	Yes/No
<b>B.4</b> No Score	Do the groups provide services in at least one of the allowable categories?  <input type="checkbox"/> Health Equity <input type="checkbox"/> Workforce Development <input type="checkbox"/> Community Economic Development <input type="checkbox"/> Housing <input type="checkbox"/> Food Justice <input type="checkbox"/> Education Support <input type="checkbox"/> Early Childhood Care <input type="checkbox"/> Other community identified need	Yes/No



If there are any “No” answers the SCBG does not qualify	Covid Impact:	
<p><b>C.1-6</b>            No Score</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Group was <b>disproportionately</b> impacted by the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency. (meets definition)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Group’s total operating expenses over program expenses has decreased since the beginning of the COVID-19 public health emergency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Group had to lay off staff during the COVID-19 public health emergency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Group had to close for a period during the COVID-19 public health emergency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Group had to access its financial reserves to pay for operating costs during the COVID-19 public health emergency.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	



Scoring Criteria	Program Information	Points awarded
<p><b>D1a. Board Representation</b>            (0-4 points possible)  <b>0- BOD</b> does not reflect (&lt;30% representation)  <b>1 point-</b> 30 - 40% representation  <b>2 points-</b>41-50% representation  <b>3 points-</b> 51% -75% representation  <b>4 points-</b> 76% - 100% representation</p>	<p>Do the boards reflect the historically underrepresented, underserved, or under-resourced communities of Colorado?</p>	
<p><b>D1b. Staff Representation</b>            (0-4 points possible)  <b>0- Staff</b> does not reflect (&lt;30% representation)  <b>1 points-</b> 30 - 40% representation  <b>2 points-</b> 41-50% representation  <b>3 points-</b> 51% -75% representation  <b>4 points-</b> 76% - 100% representation</p>	<p>Do the staffs reflect the historically underrepresented, underserved, or under-resourced communities communities of Colorado?</p>	
<p><b>D1a. &amp; D1b. Diversity Represented</b>            (0-8 points possible)            +1 LGBT            +1 BIPOC            +1 Women            +1 Gender Non-conforming            +1 Disability            +1 Low income            +1 Immigrant/Refugee            +1 Rural</p>	<p>Additional points awarded to applicants based on the diversity of their boards and staff.</p>	



# COLORADO

Department of Local Affairs

Division of Local Government

<p><b>D1c. Executive Leadership Representation</b>  <b>0 points</b> - Not Applicable  <b>2 points</b>- One or more categories marked also match</p>	<p>The executive positions identify with one or more of the communities that the groups serve.</p> <p><i>If the groups serve LGBT and Neurodiverse people and the CEO identifies as LGBT and or Neurodiverse they get 2 points. If there are no common identities then they receive a 0.</i></p>	
<p><b>D2a. Relevant Programs</b>  (0-3 points possible)</p> <p><b>0 points</b>- No evidence  <b>1 points</b>- Some evidence  <b>2 points</b>- Good evidence  <b>3 points</b>- Exceptional evidence</p>	<p>Do the groups provide <b>relevant</b> programs and services to communities who have historically been underrepresented, underserved, or under-resourced in Colorado?</p> <p>The 4 process levels: Gathers from, utilizes with, is directed/informed by, and is led by, these communities.</p>	
<p><b>D2b. Culturally responsive services</b>  (0-3 points possible)</p> <p><b>0 points</b>- No evidence  <b>1 points</b>- Some evidence  <b>2 points</b>- Good evidence  <b>3 points</b>- Exceptional evidence</p>	<p>Do the groups provide <b>culturally responsive</b> programs and services to communities who have historically been underrepresented, underserved, or under-resourced in Colorado?</p> <p>No Evidence = Culturally Destructive, Cultural Incapacity, Cultural Blindness  Some Evidence = Cultural Pre-Competence  Good Evidence = Cultural Competence  Exceptional Evidence = Cultural Proficiency</p>	



<p><b>D2c. Effective Services</b>          (0-3 points possible)</p> <p><b>0 points</b>- No evidence  <b>1 points</b>- Some evidence  <b>2 points</b>- Good evidence  <b>3 points</b>- Exceptional evidence</p>	<p>Do the groups provide <b>effective</b> services to communities who have historically been underrepresented, underserved, or under-resourced in Colorado?</p>	
<p><b>D3. Client and community feedback</b>          (0-3 points possible)</p> <p><b>0 points</b>- No evidence  <b>1 points</b>- Some evidence  <b>2 points</b>- Good evidence  <b>3 points</b>- Exceptional evidence</p>	<p>Do the groups take client and community feedback into consideration when deciding where to focus their efforts?</p>	
<p><b>D4. Connecting with other programs</b>          No Score</p>	<p>Do the groups connect the communities they serve with other state or federally funded programs?</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>
<p>30 possible points</p>		



If there are any “No” answers the SCBG does not qualify	Project and Budget	
<b>E1.</b> No Score	Do their projects fit into one of the allowable expense categories? <input type="checkbox"/> Data Technology Needs <input type="checkbox"/> Professional Development <input type="checkbox"/> Communications <input type="checkbox"/> Strategic planning and organizational development for capacity building, fundraising, and other services, <input type="checkbox"/> Existing program expansion, development or evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Yes/No
<b>E2.</b> No Score	Is the project description consistent with the eligible project expenses selected?	Yes/No
<b>E3.</b> No Score	Can the project(s) be completed within the timeline requirements of the funding?	Yes/No
<b>E4.</b> No Score	Can the groups comply with quarterly reporting requirements?	Yes/No
<b>F1.</b> No Score	The total amount of funds requested does not exceed 30% of the annual operating budget and must be no more than \$100,000. (Additional administrative allowance can be no more than 10% of total grant request)	Yes/No
<b>F2.</b> No Score	Does the budget narrative align with the allowable amount that can be requested?	Yes/No